

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/909,805	07/23/2001	Eric Benazzi	PET-1943	2716	
23599	7590 02/05/2003				
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			EXAMINER		
2200 CLAREN SUITE 1400	NDON BLVD.	GRIFFIN, WALTER DEAN			
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1764	6		
			DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003	U	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

r		T			_# _/			
		Application No.		pplicant(s)	11			
		09/909,805	E	ENAZZI ET AL.	V			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Δ	art Unit				
1		Walter D. Griffin	1	764				
	Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she to with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 300	<u> October 2001</u> .						
2a)☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1-12}$ is/are pending in the application	1 .						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ment.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)⊠ ′	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:								
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) 🔲 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional a	oplication).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5	4) 5) 6)		TO-413) Paper No(s). ent Application (PTO-1				
U.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary		Part of Part	aper No. 6			

Application/Control Number: 09/909,805

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The formula on page 7 is incorrect in that it is missing the symbol that represents an octahedral cavity. On the last line of page 14, the word "about" is lined through. It is unclear if applicant intends of this word to be in the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,805 Page 3

Art Unit: 1764

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benazzi et al. (5,997,725).

The Benazzi reference discloses a hydrocarbon hydroconversion process in which a hydrocarbon feed such as gas oils and vacuum distillates is contacted with a catalyst comprising at least one dioctahedral 2:1 phyllosilicate and least one hydro-dehydrogenating metal from Group VIII. The phyllosilicate contains fluorine, comprises sheets and can be bridged with zirconium, vanadium, or titanium ions. Since the formula for the phyllosilicate of Benazzi is the same as the phyllosilicate claimed, the phyllosilicate of Benazzi would necessarily have the claimed interplanar distances. The catalyst also contains a matrix such as alumina. The hydroconversion process is a hydrocracking process that would necessarily reduce the pour point of the feed. The process is conducted at conditions that include temperatures of more than 230°C, pressures of greater than or equal to 2 MPa (20 bar), space velocities between 0.2 and 10 h⁻¹, and quantities of hydrogen of at least 100 liters per liter of feed. See col. 1, lines 7-23; col. 2, lines 7-67; col. 3, lines 1-65; col. 4, lines 27-50 and 59-67; col. 5, lines 1-15 and 48-67; and col. 6, lines 1-8.

Ä

The Benazzi reference does not disclose that the feed is comprised of paraffins containing more than 10 carbon atoms and does not disclose the inclusion of a noble metal such as platinum or palladium in the catalyst.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of Benazzi by using a feed comprising paraffins containing more than 10 carbon atoms because such a feed is chemically and physically similar to the feeds disclosed by Benazzi and therefore would be expected to be effectively hydroconverted in the process of Benazzi.

It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of Benazzi by including a noble metal in the catalyst because noble metals are Group VIII metals, which are disclosed as being effective hydro-dehydrogenation components, and therefore their use would be expected to result in an effective hydroconversion catalyst.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art not relied upon discloses phyllosilicate catalysts.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter D. Griffin whose telephone number is 703-305-3774. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 to 4:00 with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 703-308-6824. The fax phone numbers for the Art Unit: 1764

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

WG January 30, 2003

Page 5